# Synergistic Interaction Detection

Shounak Chattopadhyay Duke University

Ongoing work with Prof. David Dunson

## Background

- We focus on modeling dose response surfaces in the presence of interaction.
- Most joint modeling approaches cannot incorporate prior information regarding interactions.
- Prior information present in terms of synergistic or antagonistic interactions between exposures.

#### Formulation

- Continuous response y and exposures  $x_1, ..., x_p \in [0,1]$  along with covariates z.
- Our model is

$$y = z'\alpha + \sum_{j=1}^{p} f_j(x_j) + \sum_{u=1,v=1}^{p} \sum_{u < v} \gamma_{uv} h_{uv}(x_u, x_v) + \epsilon$$

- Here,  $\gamma_{uv} \in \{-1, 1\}$ . Assume  $\epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ . All the  $h_{uv} \ge 0$ .
- Fix an arrangement of  $\gamma_{uv}$  beforehand based on prior information about interaction to be synergistic (+1) or antagonistic (-1).
- Can also estimate them assuming they are unknown, but time consuming.

#### Model Specification

- $f_j$  is the j-th main effect of the exposure  $x_j$  and  $h_{uv}(x_u, x_v)$  is the absolute interaction between  $x_u$  and  $x_v$ .
- To ensure identifiability and smooth estimates, we enforce  $\int f_j(x_j) dx_j = 0$  for j = 1, ..., p and  $h_{uv}(x_u, 0) = 0$  for all  $x_u$  and  $h_{uv}(0, x_v) = 0$  for all  $x_v$ .
- B-spline expansion for  $f_j$  with coefficient  $\beta_j \sim N\left(0, \frac{\sigma^2 \Sigma}{\lambda_j}\right)$ ,  $\lambda_j \sim G(0.5, 0.5)$ .
- We model  $h_{uv} = H_{uv}^2$  and model  $H_{uv}$  with a tensor product spline B-spline expansion with coefficient  $\psi_{uv} \sim N\left(0, \frac{\sigma^2 \tau^2 S}{\delta_{uv}}\right), \delta_{uv} \sim C^+(0,1), \tau^2 \sim G(0.5, 0.5).$
- $\Sigma$  and S are known covariance matrices as suggested in Lang and Brezger (2004).
- $\tau^2$  signifies shared information across interactions and  $\delta_{uv}$  signifies interaction-specific effects which are given heavy-tailed prior to allow shrinkage to zero.
- Finally,  $\sigma^2 \sim IG(0.5, 0.5)$ . We sample from the posterior using a Metropolis Adjusted Langevin Algorithm (MALA)-within-Gibbs approach.

## Key Goals

- Our model incorporates prior information about possible synergistic or antagonistic reactions.
- The interaction is shrunk to be close to 0 by the heavy-tailed prior on the coefficient  $\delta_{uv}$  if there is an absence of interaction.
- Goal 1: Identify whether there are synergistic / antagonistic interactions according to prior belief.
- Goal 2: If such interactions are present, provide a point estimate along with accurate uncertainty quantification.

#### Exposome Dataset

- We consider the effect of exposures and covariates on the response birth weight, which appeared reasonably Gaussian from a Q-Q plot.
- We took only those subjects with gestational period  $\geq 37$  weeks as our data. The sample size is then n = 1234.
- The covariates were taken to be pre-pregnancy BMI, maternal weight gain during pregnancy, gestational age at birth, maternal age, alcohol usage (0/1) and smoking status (0/1).
- The exposures were (all in  $\log_2 \text{ scale}$ ) sum of PCBs (Polychlorinated biphenyl), DDE (Dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene), and PFOA (Perfluorooctanoate). So p = 3.
- Of interest are the interactions which reduce weight at birth.
- We standardized the data prior to fitting the model and ensured each exposure was in the interval [0,1]. All the interactions were fitted with -1 sign.

# Summary of Results

- The covariates pre-pregnancy BMI, maternal weight gain and gestational age at birth had positive effects on birth weight.
- Smoking had a negative effect on birth weight.
- Sum of PCBs have a slight positive effect on birth weight in the range [8, 9] in log<sub>2</sub> scale.
- Exposure to PFOAs in the range [0, 2] in log<sub>2</sub> scale have a negative effect on birth weight.
- Only the sum of PCBs and PFOA seem to have a strong interaction for large values of sum of PCBs and moderate-to-large values of PFOA.
- Sum of PCBs and DDE had a slight estimated interaction for high values of both but otherwise there seemed to be a lack of interaction.
- Estimated variance was  $\widehat{\sigma^2} = 0.77$  with a 95% credible interval [0.72, 0.84].

# Plots – Main Effects







#### **PCB-PFOA** Interaction

- Negative of the interactions plotted.
- Maximum estimated interaction value is 0.38 with a 95% credible interval of [0.23, 0.73].
- Suggests possibility of a negative interaction between sum of PCBs and PFOA, especially for large values of sum of PCBs.