Today, we face an era of multiple and compounding crises that threaten the stability and well-being of nations and their populations. The confluence of the COVID-19 pandemic, violent conflicts, and the climate crisis have generated what is commonly referred to as the “3 C’s”. This multiple crises scenario, also defined as “polycrisis”, presents a complex and interrelated set of challenges, particularly for low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), which often bear the brunt of these crises due to their lack of resources and their many vulnerabilities. Consequently, while differing in nature, magnitude, and consequences, the 3 C’s - along with other ongoing crises - have the potential to cause local, national and even global economic downturns, increasing poverty rates and inequalities, along with multiple others worrisome consequences.

As summarized in Figure 1, economic downturns resulting from the 3C’s can directly and indirectly affect a wide range of health outcomes in LMICs disproportionately impacting the poorest communities, which are structurally less resilient than the average population.

Some of the most affected health outcomes
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include mental health impacts (e.g. depression and suicide), child and maternal health risks (e.g. malnutrition, perinatal care access), unhealthy behaviours (e.g. alcohol and drug abuse), and increased poverty-related diseases (e.g. malaria, HIV/AIDS) \(^5,6\).

Therefore, in times of economic uncertainty, and to safeguard the population’s health, an equitable preparedness strategy must prioritize interventions that respond to the underlying determinants of health and ensure that the most vulnerable members of society are protected from suffering economic shocks. These strategies could be based on ensuring the readiness of short-term mitigation interventions or promoting long-term structural resilience.

This policy brief focuses mainly on the short-term mitigation strategies which should be based on two pillars: the strengthening of health systems, and the expansion of social protection policies. Ultimately, these interventions will also help enhance the long-term structural resilience of the states.\(^8,9\)

In this regard, ISGlobal Preparedness, Response, Recovery and Resilience (PR\(^3\))\(^10\) model, based on the all-hazards perspective, combines the different stages for an efficient preparedness and response framework for public health crises, including economic turmoils. The strategies being detailed in this document will be of use during the response to other types of crises that can have an impact on the most vulnerable citizens.

---


The COVID-19 pandemic showed significant gaps in health systems around the world, particularly in public health and primary healthcare. The need for a more prepared financing approach to bridge the gap between health system investment needs and the challenge of domestic funding is very clear. In addition, as a means to achieve responsive and resilient health systems to face future global crises, it is important to shift “health” from a narrow focus on healthcare services to a more comprehensive approach that addresses the importance of primary care, the impact of the social determinants of health, and the need for a global health partnership perspective.

Several key strategies can be implemented to achieve these goals:

1. **Strengthen Primary Health Care**
   
   Primary care is one of the foundations of an effective health system. It focuses on providing accessible, person-centered, and holistic care that addresses a wide range of health needs, including prevention, early detection, treatment, and management of common illnesses. Studies suggest that primary health care (PHC) can have an important mitigating effect during economic crises. For instance, a study made by Rasella D et al. showed that under a possible austerity scenario, ending the Mais Médicos programme (MMP) - an intervention to increase primary care doctors in underserved areas in Brazil - would imply an excess 8.60% in the mortality rates by 2030.

2. **Emphasize prevention and promotion of health**
   
   Implement population-level interventions to promote healthy behaviors, including enabling healthy environments and supporting health literacy and awareness programs.

3. **Strengthen health information systems**
   
   Establishing robust health information systems is crucial for capturing data on health determinants, health outcomes, and healthcare utilization. This enables evidence-informed decision-making, monitoring of population health trends, and evaluation of interventions crucial to help mitigate the effects of economic crises over population health. The Health Metrics Network, by the World Health Organization (WHO), is a global collaboration in the making, intended to help bring solutions for the resilient maintenance of health information systems in those countries most in need.

4. **Strengthen governance and leadership**
   
   Effective governance and leadership are critical for driving structural change in health systems. LMICs need to establish robust policies and regulations that prioritize primary care, respond to social determinants of health, and foster political leadership to facilitate the transition towards a more holistic approach to health during economic turmoils. In this line, social sciences have approached gridlocks in multilateral cooperation as potential opportunities for health governance development. Political leaders can use the institutional fragmentation and multipolarity found in multilateral cooperation to generate an environment of continuous learning and feedback, that ultimately helps shaping a more comprehensive, innovative, and resilient health governance system.

---


5. Enhance intersectoral and international collaborations

Recognizing that health outcomes are influenced by factors beyond healthcare, fostering collaboration among various sectors is essential. For example, by bringing together alliances from different local and international governments, academia, research institutions, private sector, NGOs, and community stakeholders in developing policies and programs related to health outcomes linked to economic shocks. 15

6. Promote financial mechanisms that ensure the adequacy of funding

On June 2023, three multilateral development banks and the World Health Organization (WHO) launched the Health Impact Investment Platform (HIIP) during the annual Health20 (H20) Summit. 16,17 This platform serves as an excellent example of how international collaborations can support LMICs in developing more responsive and resilient PHC services (via grants and concessional loans), and ultimately, ensure LMICS are better prepared to withstand future economic crises and safeguard their communities.

2. Invest in Social Protection Strategies

“Investing in Social Protection system preparedness lead to improvements in maternal and child mortality reduction, provides a front-line responsive tool against economic shocks, and fosters overall health and economic resilience among the population.”

There is consolidated evidence about the impact of social protection interventions on enhancing health and mitigating economic downturns in the population, especially among the poorest individuals. To ensure social protection funds, governments should strive to find the optimal balance of financing and policy options with regard to the preparedness, resilience, and social responsiveness of their national contexts. 15,19 According to the International Labor Organization (ILO), options for expanding the funding on social protection in LMICs include increasing revenue from national taxes and social security contributions, improving the efficiency and progressivity of the domestic taxation system, and greater international cooperation on tax matters. 20

In addition, several steps can be considered by the states to ensure the effective implementation and response of key social protection strategies in the event of future economic crises:

1. Constant monitoring and assessment of national social protection mechanisms

Governments should understand, evaluate, and provide the basic services of their national social protection system according to their context needs. Firstly, by ensuring the ongoing social protection interventions are effectively reaching their target population. Secondly, by

measuring the real impact and effectiveness of those interventions.\textsuperscript{21,22}  

2. Building on existing social protection programs that are effective, well-targeted, and easily scalable

For instance, cash transfers have been demonstrated to be a very successful social protection strategy in mitigating the adverse socioeconomic and health consequences of economic crises.\textsuperscript{3,6} Particularly during crises, these social assistance programs can reach many poor households who hold informal jobs and will not be covered otherwise by its job retention schemes. In addition, these cash benefits could be made temporarily more generous to prevent less in-need households from an economic shock, as seen below in Figure 2, during the strike of the COVID-19 crises.\textsuperscript{10,20,21}

Figure 2. Social Protection mitigation strategies during COVID-19 economic crises. Examples of 6 LMICs given by ILO 2020-22 report.\textsuperscript{20}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COVID-19 Social Protection mitigation strategies in 6 LMICs</th>
<th>1. Bahrain</th>
<th>Doubled its disability pension and access to social services for people with disabilities.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Jordan</td>
<td>Prevented job losses and extended its unemployment benefits to workers from crisis affected sectors that lost or were at risk of losing their jobs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Mongolia</td>
<td>Increased the value of its monthly child and family benefits for six months.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Somalia</td>
<td>The country launched the Baxnaano programme to provide cash transfers to 1.2 million people.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Thailand</td>
<td>Extended its financial protection against health expenses to both nationals and legal residents by granting free of charge treatment for COVID-19 patients at public and private hospitals.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Togo</td>
<td>Implemented their three-month cash transfer programme “Novissi” – via a phone app – to financially protect informal workers, reaching over half a million workers within a month.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Involve local governments and non-state institutions

Any realistic social protection response should involve local stakeholders and governments, as well as a range of non-state actors, like local and international NGOs, to help collect information on the real-time unmet needs of their population and the effective delivery of targeted assistance.\textsuperscript{20,22}

4. Foster gender equality structurally to generate long-term resilience

In-kind or cash transfers targeting women can improve their empowerment and decision-making within the household. In addition, transfer programs conditioned to school attendance can help in reducing child labor and early marriage; in an economic crisis or displacement situation, this could help reduce gender-based violence and related health outcomes.\textsuperscript{20,23}


5. Consider the adoption of digital financial services

As seen with the COVID-19 pandemic, an economic crisis calls for a fast and effective response from the states to mitigate its effects on the population. Here, the challenge of making massive payouts to the target beneficiaries highlight the existing disparities in the “payment ecosystems” between countries. Advancing on the implementation of digital technologies to speed-up cash transfers to those most in-need could help make a difference in the mitigation of health and economic risks.20,24

Brazil’s Comprehensive Cash Transfers, Social Pensions, and Primary Health Care as a Mitigation Strategy for the Health Impact of Multiple Crises

Over the last years, Brazil implemented some of the world’s largest social protection programs, including Conditional Cash Transfers (CCT), Social Pensions (SP), and Primary Health Care (PHC). Their potential to mitigate the adverse health effects of a potential economic crisis resulting from a poly-crisis scenario was evaluated for the period 2004-2019. Results showed that consolidated coverages of CCT, PHC, and SP were responsible for overall mortality reductions of 6%, 7%, and 8% -respectively. In addition, these programs had even stronger effects on under-five child mortality, with a 13%, 10%, and 16% reduction respectively. The study concluded that, in a scenario of moderate economic crisis, implementing a mitigation strategy that increases the coverage of CCT, PHC, and SP to fully cover the “new poor” could prevent more than 700,000 deaths and 10 million hospitalizations by 2030 if compared with baseline scenarios; and more than 1.4 million deaths and 15 million hospitalizations, if compared with fiscal austerity scenarios. The study strongly encouraged decision-makers to consider mechanisms that allow the expansion of social protection interventions during economic downturns and avoid applying fiscal austerity measures that compromise their coverage and effectiveness.22

Figure 3. Example of mechanisms linking the Social Pension Program, the Cash Transfers Bolsa Família Program, and the Primary Care Family Health Strategy to health outcomes.22

---

3. Conclusions and Recommendations

"In the face of the "Polycrisis Era", all countries, particularly LMICs, should consider prioritizing the strengthening of their healthcare and social protection systems to anticipate and mitigate the adverse health consequences of future economic crises in the population, especially, among those most vulnerable."

The current scenario of poly-crisis and its related economic downturns, including the potentially incumbent global economic recession, requires that all countries, and in particular LMICs, are prepared to strengthen their health systems and social protection programs to mitigate the adverse health impact of economic shocks, especially on their most vulnerable individuals.

While during economic crises one of the most common political responses, especially in LMICs, is to introduce measures of fiscal austerity to reduce national debt, their effects could be extremely detrimental to the health of the population. Therefore, austerity policies should be avoided or, if not possible, they should be done without compromising healthcare and social protection coverage and interventions for the most vulnerable populations.

In particular, to anticipate and minimize the adverse effects of economic crises, governments should consider:

1. Monitor timely and efficient indicators of socioeconomic vulnerability in their populations, particularly, among the poorest.

2. Consolidate effective coverage of social protection interventions. Anticipate the occurrence of economic downturns, by designing preparedness mechanisms that allow the prompt expansion of new or already ongoing programs to mitigate and cover the “new poor”.

3. Develop social protection strategies that combine short-term poverty relief interventions with education and professional training, to promote structural resilience among those economically deprived.

4. Strengthen healthcare system components linked to social determinants, prevention, and promotion of health. Particularly, interventions targeting the most vulnerable.

5. Achieve and consolidate effective and universal coverage of primary health care by building adequate financial mechanisms and partnership agreements that allow the prompt assistance of increased poverty-related diseases, morbidity, and mortality during economic shocks.

6. Protect the population, especially the most vulnerable, from health-related out-of-pocket catastrophic costs, by guaranteeing free-of-charge economic access to essential healthcare services, or by providing economic assistance if needed (e.g. free-of-charge, or very low cost, prescription drugs for those most in need).
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